Abstract: Binding of tris(phenanthroline)ruthenium(II), Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$, enantiomers to nucleic acids of different base compositions and structure was examined by equilibrium dialysis and photophysical methods. Measurement of enantioselectivity combined with photophysical experiments permits the structural characterization of two noncovalent binding modes of the ruthenium(II) complexes to the DNA helix, one intercalatively bound mode showing a strong chiral preference for $\Delta$-Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ and the other, a surface-bound mode along the DNA major groove, showing a weak preference for $\Lambda$-Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$.

Luminescence decay of Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ isomers in the presence of DNA shows components of two different lifetimes. Quenching of the emission with ferrocyanide results in nonlinear Stern-Volmer plots. Finite polarization in the emission of both $\Delta$- and $\Lambda$-Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ in the presence of DNA is indicative of intercalation; greater polarization is found consistently for $\Delta$-Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ with DNA. The total binding affinity of Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ to DNA is ionic strength dependent in a manner consistent with the release of 2.2 counterions per bound ruthenium. Although binding to DNA of Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ shows no clear dependence on the guanine-cytosine (GC) content of the DNA, variations in enantiomeric preferences both as a function of GC content and as a function of ionic strength are observed. Chiral discrimination for $\Delta$-Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ increases both with the percent GC and with increasing Na$^+$ concentration. Based upon the stereoselectivities found by steady-state emission polarization, the variations are attributed to changes in chiral preferences for intercalation. This variation may indicate local changes in DNA groove size, e.g., a compression along the helix axis direction with increasing ionic strength or increasing percent GC. Weak surface binding, having a preference for $\Lambda$-Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$, is observed with double-stranded RNA. For both Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ and Ru(DIP)$_3^{2+}$ (DIP = 4,7-diphenylphenanthroline), binding to T4 DNA glycosylated in the major groove is markedly diminished compared to binding to calf thymus DNA. The chiral ruthenium complexes, with luminescence characteristics indicative of binding modes, and stereoselectivities that may be tuned to the helix topology, may be useful molecular probes in solution for nucleic acid secondary structure.

The design of small molecules that target specific sites along a DNA helix has become a subject of considerable interest. Small molecules serve as analogues in studies of protein–nucleic acid recognition, provide stereospecific reagents for molecular biology, and yield rationales for new drug design. Many small molecule based chemical reagents have already been proven to be useful as sensitive probes of local nucleic acid structure.

We have concentrated on a study of the consequences of incorporating stereochemistry (chirality) into small inorganic complexes that bind to nucleic acids. Chiral discrimination has been observed for intercalation of tris(phenanthroline)metal complexes into DNA helices, in the covalent interactions of bis(phenanthroline)ruthenium(II) with DNA, and in photostimulated cleavage reactions of cobalt(III) complexes along the helical strand. Electronic dichroism studies also have supported the stereoselective binding of ruthenium(II) complexes to B DNA. Moreover, this enantiomeric selectivity has been particularly valuable in designing probes to distinguish between the right-handed B DNA and left-handed Z DNA conformations.

The utility of chiral complexes as site-selective nucleic acid structural probes becomes apparent as well in studies with B DNA. We report here a detailed characterization of the interaction of tris(phenanthroline)ruthenium(II) isomers (Figure 1) with double-stranded polynucleotides. By use of both classical and photophysical techniques, interactions of these probes with DNAs of differing guanine–cytosine content, with RNAs, and as a function of ionic strength have been examined. The photophysical methods used here have allowed us to identify and specifically characterize two modes of binding. Sequence-dependent variations in enantioselectivity are observed for the intercalative mode and additionally for an electrostatic association of the chiral ruthenium(II) cations along the helix. These observations point out subtle sequence-specific differences in local structure that may be detected by using these small molecule probes and furthermore provide a rational and systematic basis for the design of new probes for helical conformations based upon groove associations.

Experimental Procedures

Buffers and Chemicals. All experiments were carried out at pH 7.2 with distilled deionized water in buffers containing 5 mM Tris·HCl. In addition buffers 1–7 contained, respectively, 50, 75, 100, 125, 150, 175, and 200 mM NaCl. K$_2$Fe(CN)$_6$ was Aldrich Gold Label, and CoCl$_2$ was obtained from Alfa Chemical Co.; both were used without further purification. Spectra–Por-2 dialysis tubing (12 000–14 000 MWCO), obtained from Fisher Scientific, was prepared as described previously.\(^{(b)}\)

Ruthenium Complexes. Tris(phenanthroline)ruthenium(II) dichloride, [Ru(phen)$_3$]Cl$_2$, and tris(4,7-diphenylphenanthroline)ruthenium(II) dichloride, [Ru(DIP)$_3$]Cl$_2$, were synthesized, and enantiomers were separated as described previously.\(^{(c)}\) Resolution of Ru(phen)$_3^{2+}$ enantiomers gave typically isomeric purities of 93% and 95% for $\Lambda$ and $\Delta$ isomers, respectively. $\Delta$-Ru(DIP)$_3^{2+}$ had an enantiomeric purity of 87%. If the prefix $\Delta$ or $\Lambda$ is not before the metal, rac is assumed.

Nucleic Acids. Clastodium virgatum DNA, T4 DNA, calf thymus DNA, Micrococcus lysodeikticus DNA, and yeast tRNA were obtained.

from Sigma Chemical Co. and purified by phenol extraction. The synthetic ribo- and deoxyribonucleotide polynucleotides were obtained from P-L Biochemicals.

**Figure 1.** Enantiomers of tris(phenanthroline)ruthenium(II), Ru(phen)_3. 

**Equilibrium Dialysis.** One-milliliter of retentates containing 1 mM nucleotide solutions were dialyzed first against buffer and then against 3.25-μL dialysis containing [Ru(phen)_3](ClO_4)_2; concentrations ranging from 10 to 100 μM for 24 h, after which time equilibration was achieved. The solutions were kept in the dark to avoid photocleavage reactions. Temperatures were held at 25 °C, and the samples were shaken at a constant rate. Upon equilibration, dialysates, and retentates were separated and diluted to give absorbances between 0.5 and 1.0 to facilitate spectral analysis. Bound and free concentrations were determined on the basis of absorbance readings at 477 nm since hypochromic effects were negligible at these dilutions.

**Spectroscopic Measurements.** All absorption spectra were measured with either a Varian Cary 219 spectrophotometer or a Perkin-Elmer 559 A spectrophotometer. Circular dichroic measurements were made with a Jasco J-40 automatic recording spectropolarimeter. All luminescence measurements were conducted using a Perkin-Elmer LS-5 fluorimeter at 20 °C in appropriate buffer solutions. Samples were excited at 464 nm, and the emission was monitored at 559 Å for 20 μM ruthenium complex to the helix topography, and (iii) DNA groove size.

**Lifetime Measurements.** The luminescence lifetimes were measured with a single photon counting unit using a PRA 1000A lamp and Ortec electronics and a TN-1710 MCA interfaced with an HP 87 personal computer. The decay traces were deconvoluted with software developed by Dr. C. Doubleday, in our laboratory. Appropriate filters were used for both excitation and emission to minimize the scattered light. The lifetime measurements were determined for air-saturated solutions at 20 °C in a thermostated cell holder. The lifetimes were reproducible within ±5%.

**Results**

**Characterization of Binding Modes.** In this paper we propose to consider two primary modes of binding of Ru(phen)_3 to nucleic acids: (a) intercalation and (b) surface binding. Intercalative binding allows a close approach of the metal complex to the helix as one of the ligands is sandwiched between the adjacent base pairs. This mode of binding would lead to a substantial perturbation in the photophysical properties of the metal complex such as emission lifetimes and intensities as well as steady-state polarization. In addition, intercalation imposes constraints on rotational degrees of freedom and can enhance the emission polarization. The stereoselectivity associated with this binding mode would be very sensitive to (i) the stereochirality of the metal complex, (ii) matching the symmetry of the metal complex to the helix topography, and (iii) DNA groove size.

In contrast, surface binding provides stabilization due to hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions. The complex would be relatively free to diffuse along the helix surface. The stereoselectivity of this mode of binding, however, still could be very sensitive to the topography as well as groove size. Intercalation and surface binding modes will be considered throughout this paper for discussion; however, a third mode of binding, a nonspecific ionic association, may always occur. This last binding mode would be insensitive to the helix handedness or groove size. The following are a series of experiments that characterize and distinguish intercalation of Ru(phen)_3 cations with nucleic acids in terms of surface binding and intercalation.

**Equilibrium Dialysis.** Classical dialysis experiments were used to assay total binding of the ruthenium complex to the polynucleotide. The binding affinity of tris(phenanthroline)metal complexes to DNA is low, as reported previously, but additionally shows a substantial dependence on ionic strength. Dialysis experiments were conducted at 25 °C in buffers with sodium ion concentrations ranging from 50 to 200 mM. Scatchard plots were obtained for each ionic strength, and the intrinsic binding constant K(0), was determined by extrapolating linear plots to zero values of n, the ratio of bound ruthenium to nucleotide. The inverse binding site size (per nucleotide), or n value using a Scatchard analysis, was constrained to a value of 0.125 for all buffers based upon the earlier nonlinear least-squares analysis of a saturation site size of four base pairs.

Figure 2 shows the dependence of K(0) on the ionic strength of the medium, expressed as the total positive ion concentration, [M+]^+, in solution. The binding affinity decreases appreciably with increasing ionic strength. The linear relationship for a plot of log

---

Footnotes:

Table I. Features in Binding Ru(phen)$_2$$^{2+}$ Enantiomers to DNAs of Varying Base Sequences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DNA</th>
<th>% GC</th>
<th>K(0) × 10$^{-3}$, M$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Stern-Volmer slope, M$^{-1}$</th>
<th>emission lifetimes, ns</th>
<th>polarization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>poly[d(A/T)]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. perfringens</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calf thymus</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. lysodeikticus</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly[d(G/C)]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values in parentheses correspond to the preexponential weighting factors obtained in solving the decay curves as a biexponential. Although not strictly quantitative, these coefficients reflect the amplitude of short- and long-lived components in the ruthenium-DNA samples.

![Figure 2](image_url) **Figure 2.** Variations in the intrinsic binding constant, K(0), as a function of ionic strength for rac-Ru(phen)$_2$$^{2+}$ with calf thymus DNA. The total positive ion concentration, [M$^+$], is determined as the summation of sodium and Tris cation concentrations in the medium.

![Figure 3](image_url) **Figure 3.** Luminescence quenching of Δ(2) and Δ(0) Ru(phen)$_2$$^{2+}$ bound to calf thymus DNA (DNA phosphate:Ru = 40) with increasing concentrations of Fe(CN)$_6$$^{3-}$. The differing slopes for the isomers reflect the enantioselectivity of binding the ruthenium complexes to the DNA.
intercalative, may be distinguished by steady-state emission polarization and the finite residence times, all of which would contribute to the polarization of any bound form. These quenching experiments were coupled with polarization measurements to show that the steady-state polarization is indeed due to the bound ruthenium complexes. The results are shown in Figure 4A. In fact, it is apparent that quenching the emission from the free form actually enhances the total polarization. On the other hand, quenching of the emission from the bound form by using a cationic quencher decreases the steady-state polarization. The cationic cobaltous ion, which itself binds to DNA, efficiently quenches the emission from bound ruthenium cations. The results then are consistent with the presence of both an intercalatively bound species, giving rise to polarization and quenched by cobaltous ion, and a more loosely held surface-bound species, which does not contribute to polarization but is more accessible to ferrocyanide.

**Emission Lifetimes.** Emission lifetimes of the ruthenium complexes are significantly increased upon binding to DNA. These lifetimes have been measured for the enantiomers of the ruthenium complexes with DNAs differing in base sequences, in order to gain further insight into the origin of stereoselectivity. All emission decays measured, except in case of poly[d(GC)] with the A enantiomer, are nonexponential. The intercalative binding can significantly reduce the metal complex mobility at the binding site, as shown in polarization experiments, and also can significantly increase the excited-state lifetime. Altered solvent structure and an asymmetric environment can also significantly contribute to these changes. Thus, one would expect a longer lived component for the intercalated metal complex than for the other bound or free forms. A fast exchange among the free and various bound forms can time average these lifetimes. The data obtained for the enantiomers with various DNAs are collected in Table I. The decay profiles are clearly nonexponential and can be fit to a biexponential decay with a short-lived component (≈550 ns) and a longer lived component (≈2 μs). These lifetimes do not show a clear trend with the base composition of the DNA. However, several features are clear. The lifetime of Ru(phen)$_2$+ and its optical isomers, under similar conditions in the absence of DNA, was found to be $525 ± 10$ ns, and therefore the shorter lived emission may be assigned to the free ruthenium. When ferrocyanide was employed as the quencher, which efficiently quenches the emission from free ruthenium ($k_q = 8.4 \times 10^9$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$), the two components are still present in the decay profile. Except for intensity differences, the curves are superimposable. This leads us to suggest that the shorter lived emission in fact has significant contributions from the surface-bound form as well. In fact at ruthenium to nucleotide ratios of 1:40 when the binding is essentially complete, this shorter lived component is still a major emitting species. We conclude that the shorter lived species has contributions from the surface-bound form as well as the free form, and the long-lived component is mainly the intercalated complex. Further supporting evidence for this assignment comes from the results of emission lifetime measurements for the A isomer with poly[d(GC)] (Table I). The decay profile is, strikingly, a single exponential and has no long-lived component. The polarization values are close to that of the free form (0.0020) and therefore clearly indicate that this enantiomer has little or no intercalation into poly[d(GC)]. Thus, it is very clear that the longer lived component is essentially due to the intercalated metal complex.

**Variations in Base Sequence.** By use of the methods described above, characteristics of binding of Ru(phen)$_2$+ to DNAs of various guanine-cytosine (GC) contents were determined. Table I summarizes the parameters obtained for calf thymus DNA, two natural DNAs having particularly low and high GC contents, and two synthetic polymers, poly(dAT) and poly(dGDC). Several trends emerge. At first sight it appears that there is no base preference in binding Ru(phen)$_2$+ to DNA. Values of $K(0)$, obtained by equilibrium dialysis of racemic mixtures, show no particular trend with variations in GC content, except with poly[d(GC)], and are within experimental error. This finding is in contrast to previous studies for the planar metallointercalators (phen)Pt(phen)$_2$+ and (terpy)Pt(HEt)$_4^+$, both of which displayed a linear dependence of binding affinity on GC content of the DNA. The same conclusion may be drawn based upon the Stern–Volmer constants for racemic mixtures in the ferrocyanide quenching experiment.
The quenching results coincide quite closely to that found by equilibrium dialysis, and the Stern-Volmer slopes show no particular variation as a function of percent GC. Emission lifetimes, determined for aerated solutions containing ruthenium and various nucleic acids, also show no clear dependence on GC content (Table I). Each sample showed two components: a short-lived species having a lifetime of ~500 ns assigned to surface-bound and free forms, and a longer lived species with a lifetime of ~2 µs assigned to intercalated metal complex. The relative intensity of the latter component is highest (~1:1) for poly[dl(AT)] and becomes lower with increasing GC content. As mentioned earlier, the Δ Ru(phen)₃²⁺ appears to have almost no intercalated form with poly[dl(GC)]. The steady-state polarization for the complexes does reveal a clear dependence on GC content, displaying a maximum at ~50% GC content (Table I). The absolute polarizations for Ru(phen)₃²⁺ bound to various DNAs, which presumably differ in length and in flexibility, are difficult to compare quantitatively. The polarization measured is maximum for calf thymus DNA, and therefore it is tempting to suggest that in intercalation is favored at a 50% GC content or, in other words, having a lifetime of ~ps assigned to intercalated metal complex.

In contrast to the ambiguity of information derived from studies of racemic mixtures, a clear trend related to base composition is evident, however, in comparing binding of ruthenium enantiomers to the helix (Table I). At high percent GC values Δ Ru(phen)₃²⁺ binds preferentially and shows a smaller quenching constant, K₅₆V, than that seen with the Δ Ru(phen)₃²⁺. The opposite is true, however, for DNAs having a low percent GC. Here, the overall selectivity is actually reversed, and Δ Ru(phen)₃²⁺ appears to bind preferentially to the helix. Although it is difficult to compare binding parameters, absolute polarization, and quenching rate constants among different DNAs quantitatively, a measurement of relative stereoselectivity by using each of these methods is easily accomplished and significant.

The variation in stereoselectivity with GC content by equilibrium dialysis and by polarization measurements is given in Figure 5. The selectivity, S, is defined here as the amount of Δ Ru(phen)₃²⁺ bound per total bound ruthenium. Thus, a value of 0.5 for S corresponds to no chiral discrimination, and S = 1.0 represents the enantiomeric association of the Δ Ru(phen)₃²⁺ with the polynucleotide. Figure 5 shows the plot of stereoselectivity found in total binding, determined by measuring the optical enrichment in dialyses after equilibrium dialysis of the racemic mixture, and that found in the purely intercalative component as defined by that bound species contributing polarization. Smooth variations with percent GC are seen for both methods, one displaced relative to the other. Thus, an interesting comparison between these two experiments is apparent. By equilibrium dialysis the stereoselectivity ranges from 0.73 with high GC content, reflecting a 3:1 preference for the Δ Ru(phen)₃²⁺, to a value of 0.43 for poly(dAT), indicating indeed a slight preference (1.33) for Δ Ru(phen)₃²⁺. Polarization results, however, show in all cases a preference for Δ Ru(phen)₃²⁺, which increases with increasing GC content.

Therefore, the measurement of relative stereoselectivity provides an additional means to characterize specifically the two binding modes of Ru(phen)₃²⁺ isomers with DNA. Intercalation, the binding mode detected through emission polarization, favors Δ Ru(phen)₃²⁺. Furthermore, by polarization, there is a variation in enantiomeric selectivity for intercalation with the guanine-cytosine content of the DNA; while a high level of chiral discrimination is evident with GC-rich DNAs, DNAs rich in adenine-thymine (AT) show essentially no preference for intercalation of Ru(phen)₃²⁺ isomers. The result suggests a differing local DNA structure, perhaps more compact, as a function of increasing GC content, that may be recognized with binding by the chiral metal complexes. The difference in stereoselectivity between measurements via polarization experiments and through dialysis must reflect the level of discrimination that occurs for the nonintercalative binding mode, the surface-bound component, the component that does not yield significant polarization and is more accessible to quenching by ferrocyanide. This mode of binding then clearly shows a selectivity for Δ Ru(phen)₃²⁺ and most likely is independent of GC content. The difference in S between polarization and dialysis is essentially constant, except for poly[d(GC)], with variations in percent GC and has an average S value for the surface-bound component of 0.43. The level of enantiomeric discrimination by this binding mode for Δ Ru(phen)₃²⁺ therefore is not high (1.33) but is significant. Finally, given that there is any chiral preference noted, the binding must not be by definition purely a nonspecific electrostatic association. Perhaps this binding mode derives stereoselectively from some association of the metal complex with the asymmetric groove of the DNA helix, where the helix topography may dictate the stereoselectivity.

Variations in stereoselectivity of total binding as a function of ionic strength (50–200 mM Na⁺) are given in Figure 6 for the binding of rac-Ru(phen)₃²⁺ to Cl. perfringens, calf thymus, and M. lyeodekticus DNAs. As the ionic strength is varied over this small range, changes in enantiomeric preferences are evident. The surface-binding component favoring the Δ Ru(phen)₃²⁺ persists over this variation in salt, as seen in the slight enantiomeric preference (1.2) of Cl. perfringens DNA (74% AT) for Δ Ru(phen)₃²⁺. For calf thymus DNA, of intermediate GC content, the fluctuations are most pronounced. As the salt concentration is increased, the preference for Δ Ru(phen)₃²⁺ changes from 30% to 300%. In the case of M. lyeodekticus DNA, for which the...
Table II. Binding of Ruthenium Complexes to Nucleic Acids of Different Structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>complex</th>
<th>nucleic acid</th>
<th>$K(0)$, $M^{-1}$</th>
<th>quenching slope</th>
<th>$S^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ru(phen)$_2^{2+}$</td>
<td>calf thymus DNA</td>
<td>6200</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>poly(A)-poly(U)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>poly(I-poly(C)</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>na</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tRNA</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>na</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ-Ru(DIP)$_2^{2+}$</td>
<td>T4 (glucosylated)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(32) Goldil</td>
<td>T4 (glucosylated)</td>
<td>350</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>calf thymus</td>
<td>220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$S, as defined above, was determined for total binding by equilibrium dialysis.
$^b$Not available; binding to RNA does not yield any luminescence enhancements that are susceptible to ferrocyanide quenching. Instead, self-quenching of ruthenium cations along the polymer is found.

Chiral discrimination is quite high even at 50 mM sodium ion, increasing the salt leads as well to an increase in stereoselective preference for Δ-R(phen)$_2^{2+}$. It is noteworthy that for all DNAs, with increasing ionic strength, as phosphate-phosphate repulsions along the helix decrease, the enantiomeric selectivity for the Δ-R(phen)$_2^{2+}$ increases. This suggests that the stereoselectivity is sensitive to the gradual changes in local DNA structure that are associated with variations in ionic strength.

**Binding of Ruthenium Complexes to Other Nucleic Acids.** Table II summarizes the low-binding data with polynucleotides obtained for Ru(phen)$_2^{2+}$ and Δ-Ru(DIP)$_2^{2+}$, the bulkier chiral analogue that binds stereospecifically to B DNA. As can be seen in the table, determined by dialysis, Ru(phen)$_2^{2+}$ isomer binds poorly to double-stranded RNAs. Luminescence quenching by ferrocyanide cannot be monitored since no detectable enhancement in luminescence on initial polymer binding is found. Furthermore, no polarization is evident in the ruthenium emission. Hence it appears that intercalative binding of Ru(phen)$_2^{2+}$ to double-stranded RNA does not occur. This contrasts with results obtained for another metallointercalator, (terpy)Pt(HE7)$_2$. Consistent with this result, the level of stereoselectivity determined for poly(A)-poly(U) (the sole RNA polymer for which sufficient binding was found to obtain a measurement of $S$) is 0.4; only a nonintercalative surface-bound association occurs for Ru(phen)$_2^{2+}$ with RNA, and this binding mode shows a preference for the Δ-R(phen)$_2^{2+}$.

Poor binding is evident also for Ru(phen)$_2^{2+}$ and Δ-Ru(DIP)$_2^{2+}$ with T4 DNA glucosylated in the major groove. This result is illustrated in Figure 7 by comparing emission quenching by ferrocyanide of the ruthenium complexes bound to calf thymus DNA with those bound to glucosylated T4. For both species, it is clear that accessibility to the anionic quencher is greater for T4 than for calf thymus; binding to the helix is lower (higher late slopes), given the glucosylation. Interestingly, the quenching curve for Δ-Ru(DIP)$_2^{2+}$ with the T4 DNA is now linear; intercalative tight binding by this complex is precluded. The quenching curve is instead strikingly similar to that seen earlier for Δ-Ru(DIP)$_2^{2+}$ with calf thymus DNA, where the Δ-R(phen)$_2^{2+}$ could not bind the right-handed helix owing to steric constraints. Thus, it appears that Δ-Ru(DIP)$_2^{2+}$ binds to right-handed DNA in the major groove since this binding is shut off upon glucosylation. The same conclusion may be drawn for Ru(phen)$_2^{2+}$. A pronounced increase in accessibility to ferrocyanide quenching is evident, consistent with the decrease in binding. In comparison to Δ-Ru(DIP)$_2^{2+}$, the curve for the phenanthroline complex is not strictly linear however. This slight curvature may reflect the higher probability of Ru(phen)$_2^{2+}$ for finding a four base pair site that is not glucosylated ($P = 0.18$) than for Ru(DIP)$_2^{2+}$, which is much bulkier and may require an eight base pair site ($P = 0.04$). It appears then that Ru(phen)$_2^{2+}$ may also bind to the major groove of the helix. For Ru(phen)$_2^{2+}$ a decrease in binding can be seen as well by equilibrium dialysis; for Ru(DIP)$_2^{2+}$, dialysis cannot be practically performed owing to poor solubility. As shown in Table II, from the value of stereoselectivity, binding of Ru(phen)$_2^{2+}$ to glucosylated T4 most favors Δ-Ru(phen)$_2^{2+}$ ($S = 0.3$). Surface binding along the major groove of the helix is perhaps more favored once the groove is filled with the polar glucose units.

**Discussion**

The experiments described here support the notion that tris-(phenanthroline)ruthenium(II) cations bind to DNA by two modes: one intercalative and one that is solvent accessible, is surface binding, and most likely involves ligand interactions along the helical groove. The binding affinity of the complex for the helix shows a substantial dependence on ionic strength, consistent with expectations for ionic binding by a divalent cation. The intense metal-to-ligand charge-transfer band of the ruthenium poly(pyridines) provides a useful spectroscopic handle to distinguish binding modes. Kelly et al. have also recently examined the intercalative characteristics of various poly(pyridylruthenium(II)) species. The luminescence in the presence of DNA here shows a biexponential decay suggestive of two emitting species. The quenching rates with the ferrocyanide anion also indicate the major groove of the helix filled with glucose units. See: Mukhlikii, M. A.; Kaptarova, K. A.; Mokul’skaya, T. D. Mol. Biol. (Moscow) 1972, 6, 714-731.
The differential accessibility to the aqueous phase quencher. Steady-state polarization experiments further support the presence of the ligands lining the helical surface. Right: The same model after a 90° rotation about the helical axis. Intercalative stacking of $\Delta$-Ru(phen)$_2^{+}$ is clearly visible. But importantly the basis for the enantiomeric discrimination associated with the groove binding becomes clear in this view. Note it is the asymmetry of the two groove-bound ligands of $\Delta$-Ru(phen)$_2^{+}$ that matches the asymmetric right-handed helical column. The third ligand is projected outward, toward the viewer. It is therefore interesting to notice that it is surface groove binding that requires a complementary asymmetry in structures, $\Delta$-Ru(phen)$_2^{+}$ bound against a right-handed helix, whereas intercalation, inserting into the base-paired structure, is best when symmetries are matched; as a result $\Delta$-Ru(phen)$_2^{+}$ intercalates selectively into a right-handed helix. This holds true to right-handed helix. The basis for enantiomeric discrimination is seen for the $\Delta$ isomer (above) since here the disposition of its ligands follows the right-handed groove.

Measurements of stereoselectivity of binding provide a sensitive means to describe the binding modes with some structural detail. Enantiomeric selectivity is associated with each mode of binding to right-handed DNA: intercalation favoring $\Delta$-Ru(phen)$_2^{+}$ and the electrostatic groove association, which we call surface binding, weakly favoring $\Lambda$-Ru(phen)$_2^{+}$. Figure 8 shows our structural model for the interaction of Ru(phen)$_2^{+}$ isomers with B DNA. The chiral metal complexes are shown bound along the major groove of the helix, consistent with the greatly decreased binding of the complexes to T4 DNA, which is extensively glycosylated in the major groove. The $\Delta$-Ru(phen)$_2^{+}$ cation is intercalated within the helix, with one ligand partially inserted and stacked between the base pairs. The surface binding for $\Delta$-Ru(phen)$_2^{+}$ in the major groove is also shown. The model on the left of Figure 8 depicts the structure viewed into the major groove. In this view the nonintercalated ligands of the $\Delta$-Ru(phen)$_2^{+}$ have a disposition that matches the direction of the right-handed helix. The basis for the chiral discrimination associated with intercalation, that is the different steric interactions of nonintercalated ligands with the asymmetric DNA helix, is evident in this view. On the basis of two different methods of analysis, no variations in overall binding constant are found, within experimental error. Variations in binding with base composition become apparent. The steady-state polarization results show that sequence-dependent variations are associated with intercalation. With increasing GC content, the enantiomeric preference for DNA binding of $\Delta$-Ru(phen)$_2^{+}$ increases appreciably.

Based on the intercalative model, then, some suggestion as to a sequence-dependent variation in local DNA structure may be made. The enantiomeric selectivity for intercalation depends on the size of the helical groove relative to the diameter (sterically excluded distance) of the chiral metal complex. If the DNA groove is wider than the complex, there is no enantiomeric discrimination; if the complex is much smaller than the groove, as for $\Sigma$-Ru(phen)$_2^{+}$, the binding is stereospecific. Hence the degree of stereoselectivity becomes in a sense a "molecular yardstick" in solution for helical groove size. The Ru(phen)$_2^{+}$ cation must have a diameter (16 Å) close to the groove size of the DNA helix, and therefore small variations in groove size are sensitively expressed in changes in the degree of stereoselective binding by the ruthenium cations. Note that the measurement extends beyond the site of intercalation for approximately two base pairs above and two base pairs below for Ru(phen)$_2^{+}$. In terms of this model, then, it may be suggested that GC-rich sequences stack more closely to one another, yielding a smaller, tighter groove than AT-rich sequences. This would explain the increase in the degree of stereoselectivity associated with intercalation for DNAs of higher GC. This idea is consistent also with thermodynamic measurements and calculations of base pair stacking energies. Compressions along the helix axis direction can be detected as well through variations in the ionic strength of the medium. With increasing concentration of sodium ion, phosphate-phosphate repulsions are neutralized and the helix contracts. Consistent with this notion, as the sodium concentration is increased, the stereoselective preference for $\Delta$-Ru(phen)$_2^{+}$ also increases. The variation in discrimination is seen to be the largest for calf thymus DNA, of intermediate GC content and therefore with a groove very close in size to the phenanthroline complex, whereas only small variations are noted for both Clostridium perfringens DNA containing a wider groove and M. lysodeikticus DNA with a smaller groove and thus already binding enantiomers with high selectivity. It is interesting to note that the major effect of salt, based on the dialysis results, appears to be on stereoselective intercalation rather than on the level of groove binding. Thus, the binding results and
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measures of enantiomeric selectivity for these small chiral metal complexes provide a unique probe for local DNA structure in solution. The size and shape of the metal complex may be tuned to the DNA helical groove size.

It is interesting, finally, to compare binding parameters of the complexes with nucleic acids differing markedly in structure. Binding to double-stranded RNA is extremely poor as is binding to a DNA with the major groove filled by glucosylation. Binding, therefore, must be preferred through the major groove. This observation is understandable structurally; the minor groove of B DNA is apparently too small to accommodate either isomer. For double-stranded RNA, which has an A-like conformation, what was the B-form major groove is now smaller and deeper while the minor groove is more shallow and extended. Perhaps based upon electronic considerations, intercalation of the phenanthroline ligand is favored from the major groove. Since this groove is narrowed for a RNA helix, binding to the RNA helix is not high. It is interesting to notice that, for both these polymers, glucosylated T4 and double-stranded RNAs, some nonintercalative surface binding is still apparent. Indeed the enantiomeric preference for A-Ru(phen)+4 may be somewhat enhanced. An explanation may be in the fact that both of these polynucleotides contain shallow minor grooves, but the RNA minor groove is too small to accommodate the phenanthroline ligand. Binding models, one based upon intercalation and one upon surface binding, have been characterized, and both display chiral discrimination. Given these binding models, photophysical experiments coupled with measurements of enantiomeric selectivity may be used to examine subtle features of the polynucleotide structure. Small molecules and chiral complexes in particular offer unique structural probes of solution in the local conformation of sites along the polymer.
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Our approach to the problem of finding new oxidizing agents3 is to investigate the coordination chemistry of novel polyanionic chelating (PAC) ligands (e.g., 1, 2).* Ligand 1 forms stable octahedral cobalt(III) and cobalt(IV) complexes,2 but here we show that 2, which has a greater donor ability, stabilizes Co(III) in the rare square-planar geometry. Well-resolved, paramagnetically shifted, solution 1H NMR spectra have been measured for these intermediate and high-spin cobalt(III) complexes.4 Reaction of Co(O2CCH3)2 with 1 equiv of H2O and excess NaOH in ethanol under air gives a deep green solution containing [Co(η2-2)3]4-, which can be isolated (ca. 70%) as the Na+ salt 3.