A versatile, convenient, and nontoxic solvothermal method for the synthesis of nanocrystalline iron, chromium, and manganese oxides is described. This method employs the reactions of metal acetylacetonate precursors and oxygen-containing solvents in a reaction to prepare metal oxide nanoparticles. Characterization of these nanocrystalline materials was carried out employing transmission electron microscopy (TEM), high-resolution TEM (HRTEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), and elemental analysis.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Transition metal oxide nanoparticles represent a broad class of materials that have been researched extensively due to their interesting catalytic, electronic, and magnetic properties, and wide scope of potential applications, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [1], solar cells [2], and heterogeneous catalysis [3, 4]. The literature describes a range of approaches for synthesizing metal oxide nanoparticles from various inorganic or organometallic precursors, driven by the rapid development of the nanosciences during the past two decades. Thermal decomposition procedures which produce uniform nanoparticles are widely popular; typical starting materials include metal acetylacetonates [5], metal cupferronates [6], metal alkoxides [7], metal carbonyls [8], and metal halides [9]. These methods in general are of limited synthetic scope for metal oxide synthesis.

Recently, there has been a focus on developing more general methods [5, 10–14] that can be applied to preparation of a variety of metal oxides. This is important from an industrial scale-up perspective because a general synthesis of many oxides will allow the use of a single reactor as opposed to separate set-ups. We focused our efforts on the development of a method to prepare a variety of metal oxide nanoparticles from a common set of precursors—metal acetylacetonates. A convenient reaction for the preparation of $\gamma$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ was discovered and has been expanded to the preparation of $\gamma$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$, $\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3$, $\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4$, and $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$. The results presented here demonstrate (with varying success) the ability to extend this procedure to prepare nanocrystals of the late first-row transition metal oxides.

During the past decade, a number of metal oxides have been prepared from metal acetylacetonates. Several of the oxide nanoparticles prepared from M(acac)$_x$ precursors are TiO$_2$, $\gamma$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$/Fe$_3$O$_4$, $\gamma$-$\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$, ZnO, In$_2$O$_3$, V$_2$O$_3$, Nb$_2$O$_5$, Ta$_2$O$_5$, HfO$_2$, and SnO$_2$ [11–13, 15–20]. One excellent method, pioneered by Niederberger and coworkers [5], using only M(acac)$_x$ precursors and benzylamine solvent has produced many of the aforementioned metal oxides. Sun and coworkers [21] have synthesized Fe$_3$O$_4$ by heating Fe(acac)$_3$ with oleylamine, oleic acid, and 1,2-hexadecanediol. In comparison to other acetylacetonates or acetate precursors, Fe(acac)$_3$ is less costly and the nanoparticle products are of comparable uniformity and monodispersity. Metal acetylacetonates also possess low moisture sensitivity, rendering them less susceptible to hydrolysis, which is a common disadvantage of metal alkoxides and halides [22].

Capping ligands on nanoparticles have several purposes. They provide a dense monolayer, which coats the nanoparticle surface that prevents aggregation and controls nanoparticle growth. Capping ligands have the potential to modify the surface for further use in application-based chemistry. However, high solution temperatures (>200°C, typically 300–400°C) or hydrothermal conditions are generally required in
crystalline metal oxide nanoparticle syntheses, which limits ligand selection to a small set that can withstand temperatures over 200°C. For this reason, there is a focus on developing low-temperature nanoparticle synthetic procedures [23]. In addition, many of the more commonly used ligands, such as oleic acid and trietylphosphine oxide (TOPO), have hydrophobic tails and limit solubility of the nanoparticles to nonpolar solvents. Given these limitations, the challenge is to design a surface ligand that can provide a desired surface chemistry and functionality and yet survives the harsh reaction conditions. The ability to tune solubility by altering surface chemistry would gear metal oxide nanoparticles towards technological applications. Currently, ligand-exchange is often used to alter the solubility of nanoparticles postsynthesis [24]. There are many ligands that have low volatility and can form covalent or ionic binding interactions with oxide surfaces; however, only a few, such as oleic acid, deliver highly monodisperse and uniform nanoparticles. Part of the research described here seeks to overcome these obstacles by screening for new ligands that could tune the solubility of nanoparticles beyond the realm of organic solvents.

2. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

2.1. Synthesis of iron(III) acetylacetonate

Unless otherwise noted, iron(III) acetylacetonate (2 mmol) (Strem Chemicals, Inc., Newburyport, Mass, USA) was combined with 20 mL of surfactant/solvent (2-acetyl pyridine (AP), p-anisaldehyde (PA), γ-butyrolactone (BL), ethylene carbonate (EC), or 1-formyl piperidine (FP), all obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Inc, Milwaukee, Wis, USA) and refluxed for 30 minutes under positive N2 pressure. The solvent also acts as the surfactant, forming a protective layer on the nanoparticle surface. The solution was cooled to room temperature, dissolved in hexanes to disperse the nanoparticles, and then centrifuged at 3800 rpm for 10 minutes. The workup for each reaction product is dependent upon the solvent used. When 2-acetyl pyridine or ethyl salicylate was the solvent, the nanoparticles were precipitated from solution with water, centrifuged for 10 minutes to collect the precipitate, which was then redispersed in chloroform. Nanoparticles prepared with p-anisaldehyde or γ-butyrolactone were collected by precipitating with hexanes and redispersed in ethanol or acetone. Ethylene carbonate solvent requires precipitating nanoparticles with ethanol, centrifuging for 10 minutes to collect the precipitate, which is then redispersed in chloroform. With 1-formyl piperidine, the nanoparticles were isolated by precipitation with hexanes and were dispersed in water. TEM imaging to determine morphology, and phase determination by XRD (Inel X-ray diffractometer) were performed to characterize the samples. Images of the nanoparticles were taken on a JEOL cx100 transmission electron microscope (TEM) at 100 kV with a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera attachment. TEM samples were prepared by drying solvent dispersions of the nanoparticles onto carbon-backed 400-mesh Cu grids followed by drying under vacuum at room temperature.

2.2. Synthesis of chromium oxide nanoparticles

Chromium oxide (Cr₂O₃) nanoparticles were prepared by heating Cr(III) acetylacetonate (1 mmol, 0.35 g) (Strem Chemicals, Inc.) with 20 mL acetone (or cyclohexanone or ethanol) in a Teflon-lined Parr acid-digestion bomb at 200°C for 72 hours to one week. The bomb was cooled to RT before opening. The product was a dark green precipitate in a green solution that was dissolved in chloroform and centrifuged for 10 minutes. A green solid precipitated and was dried for 12 hours under vacuum, followed by annealing for 4 hours at 500°C in air. The product is able to form a semistable suspension in chloroform with the help of sonication. Percent yield increased with longer durations of heating. The dark green material was characterized by TEM, XRD, and elemental analysis.

2.3. Synthesis of manganese oxide nanoparticles

Manganese oxide (Mn₃O₄) nanoparticles were synthesized by heating Mn(III) acetylacetonate (1 mmol, 0.35 g) in 20 mL acetone or ethanol in a Teflon-lined Parr acid-digestion bomb at 200°C for a minimum of 72 hours. The dark product solution was dispersed in chloroform and centrifuged for 10 minutes. The black precipitate was isolated and dried at RT under vacuum for 12 hours, followed by calcination at 500°C for 4 hours. The product was characterized by XRD, TEM, and elemental analysis. Mn₃O₄ nanoparticles were prepared by heating Mn(II) acetylacetonate (1 mmol, 0.25 g) in cyclohexanone in a Parr acid-digestion bomb, lined with Teflon for 4 days at 200°C. The product was collected by adding chloroform to the product solution and centrifuged for 10 minutes. The black precipitate was collected and dried under vacuum for 12 hours at RT.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Metal acetylacetonate precursors are not as common as metal alkoxides or metal halides for the synthesis of metal oxide nanoparticles, despite the fact that they are widely available commercially and are inexpensive. The β-diketonate bidentate ligands coordinate to the metal center strongly, and are believed to provide for more controlled reaction rates than monodentate ligands in the synthesis of metal oxide nanoparticles [22].

Magnetite (Fe₃O₄) nanoparticles were prepared conveniently and rapidly by heating Fe(acac)₃ in one of a number of polar, high boiling point solvents. The solvents also act as capping ligands, providing experimental simplicity and convenience, and were selected based on several criteria. High temperatures are required for this reaction and therefore a high boiling point is imperative in the solvent. Since there are a large number of capping ligands that are capable of providing solubility in nonpolar organic solvents, solvents with a stronger polarity were chosen, in an effort to provide solubility in more polar and aqueous solvents. Carbonyl groups are known to interact strongly with the metal oxide surfaces [25], therefore solvents containing carbonyls were selected. The capping ligands/solvents selected are shown in Figure 1. The
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Figure 1: Capping ligands/solvents chosen for nanoparticle synthesis. All solvents have carbonyl groups to bind to the metal oxide surface, and high boiling points that allow them to survive high reaction temperatures.
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2-acetylpyridine (AP) bp 188°C  
2-acetylpyridine (PA) bp 250°C  
γ-butyrolactone (BL) bp 204°C  
Ethylene carbonate (EC) bp 250°C  
1-formylpiperidine (FP) bp 220°C

Figure 2: Synthesis of water-soluble iron oxide nanoparticles by heating Fe(acac)$_3$ in 1-formylpiperidine solvent. The 1-formylpiperidine is proposed to bind to the metal oxide surface through the carbonyl group.

Fe(acac)$_3$ +  
$\rightarrow$ 220°C, 30 min  
Fe$_3$O$_4$

route developed is very short and proceeds rapidly. Under favorable conditions, the reaction can be completed within one hour. A reaction scheme illustrating the proposed binding of FP to the surface of γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles is shown in Figure 2.

TEM images of γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles prepared in different solvents are shown in Figure 3. The average diameter of the nanoparticles was determined from the TEM image and ranged from 6 to 55 nm, and average diameter was found to be solvent-dependent. Average nanoparticle diameters are as follows: 2-acetylpyridine (6–10 nm), $p$-anisaldehyde (6–14 nm), γ-butyrolactone (9–12 nm), ethylene carbonate (5–51 nm), and 1-formyl piperidine (18–55 nm).

Nanoparticles prepared in 2-acetylpyridine (Figure 3(a)) are mostly spherical and cubic in shape; however, $p$-anisaldehyde (Figure 3(b)) produces a biomodal size distribution of spherical nanoparticles. Iron oxide nanoparticles prepared in γ-butyrolactone (Figure 3(c)) were difficult to image with TEM, but appear to be spherical in shape. Hexagonal nanoparticles arise (Figure 3(d)) when ethylene carbonate is the solvent. Interestingly, nanoparticles prepared in 1-formylpiperidine (Figure 3(e)) are nonuniform in shape; however, they are soluble in water. Ethyl salicylate and propylene carbonate solvents were also tested; however, no nanoparticles were observed by TEM.

Products were also characterized by X-ray diffraction and found to be highly crystalline. The reflections in the diffraction pattern correspond to γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (JCPDS 39-1346); however, Fe$_3$O$_4$ has a nearly identical diffraction pattern and may be present. The low angle reflections, (210) and (211), are a sign that γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ is present as well. An example of the X-ray diffraction pattern obtained during this method of preparation is shown in Figure 4. All were superimposable, and therefore only one is shown.

One important goal of this research was to tune the solubility of iron oxide nanoparticles in order to make them more readily used in applications that require polar or aqueous solvents. We found that nanoparticles capped with AP...
and EC are soluble in nonpolar solvents such as chloroform; however, iron oxide nanoparticles capped with PA or BL are soluble in highly polar solvents like ethanol or acetone. Even more exciting is the fact that nanoparticles capped with FP are soluble in water.

Oleic acid is commonly used as a capping ligand in the synthesis of iron oxide nanoparticles. The most widely used method was developed by the talented Hyeon and coworkers [8], and uses a Fe(CO)$_5$ precursor. Iron pentacarbonyl is highly toxic, air sensitive, and also much more expensive than Fe(acac)$_3$. We prepared iron oxide nanocrystals by refluxing Fe(III) acetylacetonate in oleic acid for approximately 30 minutes. The temperature was increased to 300°C and stirred at that temperature for one hour. Maintaining one temperature, for example, 200°C or 300°C, did not produce nanoparticles of comparable quality to those produced by temperature ramping. Characterization by TEM shows that the particles are highly monodisperse and uniform in shape. The average size is 10 nm (Figure 5). The nanoparticles are spherical in shape and self-organized in two dimensions on a TEM grid. These nanoparticles were characterized as Fe$_{1-x}$O by XRD, which presumably can be further oxidized to Fe$_3$O$_4$ and γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ with additional heating. This reaction works very well and produces highly monodisperse nanoparticles.

4. SYNTHESIS OF Mn$_2$O$_3$ AND Mn$_3$O$_4$ NANOPARTICLES

Manganese acetylacetonate precursors were explored to determine whether other transition metal oxide nanoparticles could also be prepared by the method described to prepare iron oxide nanoparticles. Manganese can exist in various oxidation states, with several common manganese oxides, including MnO, Mn$_2$O$_3$, and Mn$_3$O$_4$.

Mn$_2$O$_3$ and Mn$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles were synthesized simply by heating either Mn(acac)$_3$ or Mn(acac)$_2$ in acetone in a steel autoclave for more than 72 hours. When Mn(acac)$_3$ and acetone were combined in a steel autoclave and heated in a 200°C oven for 9 days and the dark brown powder annealed in air at 500°C for 5 hours, the XRD (Figure 4)
Figure 5: TEM of Fe\textsubscript{1-x}O nanoparticles. Average size is 10 nm.

Figure 6: HRTEM of Mn\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanoparticles at (a) 60 000 and (b) 600 000 magnification.

showed the product to be nanocrystalline Mn\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}. The yield for this reaction was 62%, which is an excellent yield for a metal oxide nanoparticle synthesis. TEM and HRTEM of annealed Mn\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanoparticles are shown in Figure 6, respectively. The nanoparticles are an average of 35 nm in diameter, as confirmed by the measurement in the TEM and the Scherrer equation from the (222) peak in the XRD pattern.

The products were amorphous postsynthesis (determined by XRD) and were annealed for 5 hours at 500°C. After annealing, the X-ray diffraction pattern (Figure 4) proved that the black powder was indeed Mn\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, with all major reflections assigned to that structure (JCPDS 71-0636). The nanoparticles are spherical and cubic in shape. Elemental analysis was performed to determine the percent manganese in this sample and further confirmed it as Mn\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}. Experimentally, the sample contained 69.6% Mn, which is the expected theoretical weight percent of Mn in Mn\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}. Based on the XRD and ICP results, it is concluded that the method developed produces phase-pure Mn\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}.

Mn\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} nanoparticles were formed when Mn(acac)\textsubscript{2} was heated with cyclohexanone in a Parr acid-digestion bomb at 200°C for 4 days. The product solution was green and contained a dark green precipitate; the latter was isolated by centrifugation followed by drying under vacuum. The solid product was a deep green powder.

The Cr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanoparticles prepared by this method are spherical, polydisperse, and amorphous as determined by XRD (JCPDS 85-0869) (Figure 4) and TEM (Figure 8). The green powder product was annealed in a 500°C furnace overnight and converted to crystalline Cr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} as deduced from the XRD pattern (Figure 4). After annealing the powder was very dark green, almost black, and was soluble in

5. SYNTHESIS OF Cr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} NANOPARTICLES

Synthesis of Cr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanoparticles has been rarely reported in the literature with some notable exceptions [26–30]. Chromic oxide has a wide variety of applications as green pigments [31], catalysts [32], and coating materials for thermal protection [33]. The lack of simple methods to prepare this useful material led us to pursue its preparation by way of Cr(acac)\textsubscript{3}.

Chromium oxide nanoparticles were prepared by a procedure analogous to that described above for manganese oxide nanoparticles. Cr(acac)\textsubscript{3} and acetone were combined in a Teflon-lined Parr acid-digestion bomb and heated for 3 days at 200°C. Cr(acac)\textsubscript{3} sublimes at relatively low temperatures (100°C) and therefore the reactions were performed in bombs to prevent loss of reagent. The product solution was green and contained a dark green precipitate; the latter was isolated by centrifugation followed by drying under vacuum. The solid product was a deep green powder.

The Cr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanoparticles prepared by this method are spherical, polydisperse, and amorphous as determined by XRD (JCPDS 85-0869) (Figure 4) and TEM (Figure 8). The green powder product was annealed in a 500°C furnace overnight and converted to crystalline Cr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} as deduced from the XRD pattern (Figure 4). After annealing the powder was very dark green, almost black, and was soluble in
6. CONCLUSIONS

A general method for the synthesis of metal oxide nanoparticles has been developed. Metal acetylacetonate precursors are relatively environmentally friendly, and can be used to prepare metal oxide nanoparticles by simple, straightforward methods using only a polar, oxygen-containing solvent and by applying heat. γ-Fe₂O₃, Cr₂O₃, Mn₂O₃, and Mn₃O₄ can be prepared from their M(acac)₃ precursors. Maghemite, γ-Fe₂O₃, of varied solubility can be obtained by preparing in different solvents/surfactants. These materials were characterized by TEM, XRD, and elemental analysis.
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