with a two-electron reduction of the surface in either case. The heterolytic species may be photoexcited to the homolytic mode and undergo the same subsequent reactions. The barrier to methyl radical diffusion over O²⁻ sites is approximately the same as the desorption energy, ~0.4 eV. Homolytic adsorption at O²⁻ sites on the basal planes will take place with the electron–hole pair excitation energy stored as Mo⁶⁺ and the same catalyst reducing reactions forming ethane and formaldehyde will occur. Unless H₂CO is desorbed, additional electron–hole pair excitations should lead to further dehydrogenation and surface reduction with the formation of CO and CO₂ as seen in ref 1.

When O²⁻ is present at the surface as a result of cation vacancies, homolytic and heterolytic products are comparable in stability at the edge sites, with the latter slightly favored. Homolytic adsorption will also take place at O²⁻ sites on the basal planes. The methyl radical will be mobile over the O²⁻ basal plane sites but when it comes to an O²⁻ it will bind as methoxy, being trapped by ~2.5 eV, the electron–hole pair recombination energy. In the presence of an adjacent O²⁻, activated hydrogen loss from methoxy as in ref 8 is possible, resulting in the formation of formaldehyde, OH⁻, and Mo⁵⁺. Additional O²⁻ sites should activate the CH bonds in formaldehyde to yield more highly oxidized products.

These conclusions may be expected to apply to other systems such as the V⁵⁺, P⁴⁺, and Ti⁴⁺ supported oxide catalysts studied by Kazansky and co-workers.¹ The presence of O²⁻ due to nonstoichiometry or UV charge-transfer excitation resulted in the reaction of methane to form various amounts of ethane, formaldehyde, carbon monoxide, and carbon dioxide. The variations in products for these catalysts and MoO₃ suggest their is much to learn about the effects of surface structure on the various reactions. Reference 1 states "It will be impossible to understand the photocatalytic reactions of paraffins, without a thorough study of their specific interactions with hole centers of the O²⁻ type." We feel that the electronic aspects are now clear from the perspective of molecular orbital theory and that future characterization using surface science techniques will help clarify the structural effects.
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**Photochemical Methods for Characterizing the Nature of Polymer Aggregates in Aqueous Solutions and on a Silica Surface**
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The nature and structure of the aggregates formed by a water-soluble poly(ethylene oxide–propylene oxide–ethylene oxide) block copolymer adsorbed on silica particles have been investigated by photoluminescence probe methods. The micropolarity and the aggregation number of the polymer aggregates adsorbed on silica particles were determined by fluorescence methods using pyrene as a probe and were found to be significantly smaller than those of the aggregates in aqueous solution. The aggregates adsorbed on silica have a higher solubilization ability and a higher ability of protecting pyrene from quenching by Cu²⁺. The decay curves of pyrene on the aggregates on silica are similar to those observed in surfactant micelles. These results suggest that, relative to the solution phase, the polymer aggregates on the silica surface are smaller and more compact and possess properties similar to surfactant micelles. The entrance and exit rates for Cu²⁺ in the polymer aggregates on silica and in the solution phase are determined from the decay of pyrene fluorescence in the presence of Cu²⁺. The values of these kinetic parameters are compared to those of pyrene in SDS micelles and are interpreted in terms of the size and water content of the polymer aggregates in two phases and in terms of the interaction between Cu²⁺ and the silica surface.
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**Introduction**

The poly(ethylene oxide–propylene oxide–ethylene oxide) block copolymers (EPE) are composed of hydrophobic poly(propylene oxide) (PPO) and hydrophilic poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) segments. Ordinary hydrophilic (water-soluble) polymers are extended by water and assume structures possessing random-coil chains. An increase in polymer concentration increases the mutual interaction of polymer chains. Due to the different solubilities in water of the PPO and PEO segments, the EPE block copolymer forms polymer aggregates with internal PPO segments surrounded by PEO segments.¹ Upon a further increase of the concentration of the polymer, the structure of the aggregates changes from monomolecular aggregates to polymeric aggregates.² Thus, the structure and the properties of EPE polymer aggregates in aqueous solutions changes as a function of polymer concentration.

Both poloxymethyleneated nonionic surfactants and PEO are adsorbed on negatively charged silica as a result of hydrogen bonding between the –SiOH groups of the silica surface and the oxygen atoms of the oxyethylene group.³ A similar interaction between EPE polymer and silica is expected, but the resulting structure and properties of EPE polymers adsorbed on silica may be quite different from those in the solution phase. Various methods have been employed to measure the thickness of the adsorption layers,⁴ but the size of the aggregate formed by an adsorbed surfactant on a solid surface cannot be readily
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solid particles. The fluorescence probe method can provide information on the structure of the adsorbed surfactant. In this study, the structure and the properties of EPE aggregates adsorbed on a silica surface and dissolved in the solution phase (Scheme I) were investigated by photochemical methods. These results are compared with each other and discussed in terms of the interaction between the EPE polymer and the silica surface and the resulting structures which result from these interactions.

Experimental Section

Materials. Pyrene (Aldrich Chemical Co.) was recrystallized from ethanol three times. The synthesis of 1,3-dinaphthylpropane (DNP) has been reported in the literature. The silica (Analabs Co.) employed possessed a pore size of 1250 Å, a surface area of 25 m²/g, and a particle size of 40–100 μm. The poly(ethylene oxide-propylene oxide-ethylene oxide) block copolymer (EPE), possessing a ratio of ethylene oxide to propylene oxide of 0.8 (Mₚ = 2917), was a product of Polysciences Co. Tannic acid, sodium chloride, and cupric sulfate (Aldrich Chemical Co.) were used as supplied.

Methods. A series of concentrations of EPE solutions (10 mL), containing an excess of pyrene, were stirred overnight, and then the undissolved material was filtered to prepare a pyrene-saturated EPE solution. To these solutions was added 0.2 g of silica, and the concentration of pyrene in 0.02% EPE (5.6 × 10⁻⁸ M) is defined as \(I_{1}/I_{3}\). The ratio of naphthyl excimer emission (\(I_{e} \) ca. 397 nm) to naphthyl monomer emission (\(I_{m} \) ca. 337 nm) is defined as \(I_{e}/I_{m}\). The emissions were excited at 290 nm. The conversion of EPE was determined from the residual optical density (OD) of the supernatant.

All fluorescence measurements were recorded on a SLM instrument Model 8000 spectrometer. The ratio of the intensity of pyrene emission at 373 and 383 nm is defined as \(Z_{1}/Z_{3}\). The microviscosities follow a literature method. A single photon counting technique was used to determine fluorescence lifetimes.

Results

In the presence of surfactant micelles, the UV absorption of pyrene shifts slightly to longer wavelengths, e.g., the absorption maximum at 334 nm shifts to 337 nm. In solutions of EPE and 1%, the maximum absorptions of pyrene are 334 and 337 nm, respectively, which is consistent with the absence and the presence of EPE aggregates at 0.02% and 1%, respectively. In a 0.4% EPE aqueous solution, the adsorption of pyrene occurs at 337 nm, indicating the presence of polymer aggregates. When silica is added to the solution, however, the UV absorption of pyrene in the supernatant shifts from 337 to 334 nm.

Table I shows the extent of maximum solubilization of pyrene in the EPE aggregates in the solution phase and in the EPE aggregates adsorbed on the silica surface. In the solution phase, the limiting pyrene saturated concentration increases as the EPE concentration increases from 0.02% to 2%. The maximum concentration of pyrene in 0.02% EPE (5.6 × 10⁻⁸ M) is similar to that in water, and the concentration of pyrene in 2% EPE is much smaller than that for a nonionic surfactant C₆H₄O₃ solution (i.e., ca. 1 × 10⁻⁸ M), indicating the low solubilization ability of EPE aggregates in the solution phase. On the silica surface, however, the extent of pyrene solubilization of EPE aggregates significantly increases by a factor of 4–10.

The parameter \(I_{1}/I_{3}\) of pyrene emission has been used to monitor the micropolarity experienced by pyrene in colloidal aggregates. The \(I_{1}/I_{3}\) value increases with increasing micropolarity. Figure 2 shows the \(I_{1}/I_{3}\) value of pyrene as a function of EPE concentration in the absence of pyrene and silica. As the EPE concentration increases, the solution phase, \(I_{1}/I_{3}\) values remain relatively constant at ca. 1.9 (waterlike environment) below 0.2% and then decrease slightly to ca. 1.8 at 2% EPE. In the presence of silica, \(I_{1}/I_{3}\) decreases from 1.74 to 1.68 in the range of 0.02% – 1%


**Table I: Solubilization Amount of Pyrene in the EPE Aggregates in Solution Phase and on silica under Different EPE Concentrations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EPE, %</th>
<th>solution phase (×10⁻⁹ mol)</th>
<th>silica (×10⁻⁹ mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>114</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>452</td>
<td>418</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pyrene was saturated in EPE solution.

**Figure 1.** Adsorption isotherm of EPE on silica.

**Figure 2.** \(I_{1}/I_{3}\) values of pyrene in the aggregates of EPE in the solution phase and on silica as a function of EPE concentration.
The fluorescence decay of pyrene was used to study the kinetic properties of a cation (Cu²⁺) dissolved inside the EPE aggregates on the silica surface or in the solution phase. Figure 3 shows the pyrene fluorescence decay for several systems. In water, Cu²⁺ quenches the pyrene fluorescence, and the decay is exponential. In SDS micelles, the decay curve can be analyzed as being composed of a transient multiexponential decay and a single-exponential decay. The multiexponential decay is ascribed to the well-established decay pattern of pyrene in micelles. In the EPE aggregates in the solution phase, the decay is not strictly exponential but is similar to that in water. The decay is, however, clearly much slower in the EPE system. The nonexponential nature of the decay of pyrene in EPE aggregates on silica is more apparent than that in the solution phase but less obvious than that in SDS micelles.

In micelles, the decay curve of pyrene fluorescence in the presence of quencher follows eq 4 according to the quenching model:

\[
\ln \left( \frac{I(t)}{I(0)} \right) = -A_2 t + A_3 (e^{-A_1 t} - 1) \tag{4}
\]

where \( k_i \) is the rate constant of fluorescence decay and eximer formation in micellar solution, respectively. The aggregation number of EPE in the solution phase can be evaluated from

\[
\text{agg no.} = n(EPE) / [P] M_w \tag{2}
\]

Similarly, the aggregation number of EPE on silica can be calculated from a knowledge of the adsorbed amount of EPE and pyrene on silica (EPEₐd and Pₐd) and the \( M_w \) of EPE.

\[
\text{agg no.} = n(EPE) / [P] M_w \tag{3}
\]

From the above analysis, the aggregation number of EPE in the solution phase (35–600) is found to be much larger than that in the adsorption layer (2–9). In the solution phase, the aggregation number monotonically increases with EPE concentration (Figure 3). On the silica surface, the aggregation number increases with increasing EPE concentration but remains constant over a wide range (ca. 0.1–1%, Figure 4).

The fluorescence decay of pyrene was used to study the kinetic properties of pyrene fluorescence decay for several systems. In water, Cu²⁺ quenches the pyrene fluorescence, and the decay is exponential. In SDS micelles, the decay curve can be analyzed as being composed of a transient multiexponential decay and a single-exponential decay. The multiexponential decay is ascribed to the well-established decay pattern of pyrene in micelles. In the EPE aggregates in the solution phase, the decay is not strictly exponential but is similar to that in water. The decay is, however, clearly much slower in the EPE system. The nonexponential nature of the decay of pyrene in EPE aggregates on silica is more apparent than that in the solution phase but less obvious than that in SDS micelles.

For 2% EPE solution, the \( A_2 \) values and \( A_3 \) values for EPE aggregates in the solution phase and on the silica surface are shown as a function of quencher concentration in Figure 6. The slope for \( A_2 \) in the solution phase is bigger than that on silica surface. For 0.2% EPE solution, the \( A_2 \) value increases with increasing Cu²⁺ concentration for EPE on silica surface but remains constant for EPE in solution phase. As the EPE concentration decreases, the decay curve of pyrene in EPE aggregates in the solution phase

\[
A_2 = k_f + S_2(Q) \tag{5}
\]

\[
A_3 = S_3(Q) \tag{6}
\]

\[
1/S_2 = 1/k_+ + (1/k_)[M] \tag{7}
\]

\[
1/S_3 = k_+/k_+ + [M] \tag{8}
\]

where \( k_i \) is the rate constant of fluorescence quenching. \([Q]\) is the quencher concentration, \([M]\) is the micellar concentration, and \(k_+\) and \(k_-\) are the entrance and exit rate constants of quencher into and out of the micelles. The decay curves of pyrene in the solution phase and on the silica surface under a series of concentrations of Cu²⁺ were fitted to eq 4 by computer to acquire \( A_2 \) and \( A_3 \) values. The \( 1/A_2 \) value relates to the lifetime of pyrene in the presence of quencher, and the \( A_3 \) value relates to the effectiveness of quenching. The lifetime of pyrene (1/\( A_2 \)) in different systems (Figure 5) in the presence of \( 1.6 \times 10^{-3} \) M Cu²⁺ is evaluated as 132 ns in EPE aggregates in the solution phase, 193 ns in the EPE aggregates on silica, 154 ns in SDS micelles, and 71 ns in water, respectively. The EPE aggregates on silica more effectively protect pyrene from quenching than the EPE aggregates in the solution phase.


Polymer Aggregates on a Silica Surface


Polymer Aggregates on a Silica Surface

Figure 6. $A_2$ and $A_3$ values for the pyrene decay quenched by Cu$^{2+}$ as a function of CuCl$_2$ concentration in the EPE aggregates (a) in the solution phase and (b) on silica.

is close to a straight line with the $A_3$ value close to zero, which is the $A_2$ value in water (e.g., 0.15 for 1.5%, 0.087 for 0.7%, and 0.0084 for 0.4%). For the adsorption layer, the trends of $A_2$ and $A_3$ observed for 2% become less regular probably due to the small size of the aggregate.

According to eq 5-8, $k_4$ and $k_5$ for the EPE adsorbed on silica surface can be calculated from the knowledge of $[M]$. The results obtained are $k_4 = 1.4 \times 10^9$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and $k_5 = 2.2 \times 10^8$ s$^{-1}$.

Since $A_2$ is independent of quencher concentration, $k_4$ and $k_5$ for EPE in the solution phase cannot be calculated as mentioned above. For EPE in the solution phase, $S_5$ with a given $[M]$ can be similarly acquired from eq 7. According to eq 7, by changing $[M]$, $1/k_5$ and $1/k_4$ can be acquired from the intercept and the slope of the plot of $1/S$ vs. $[M]$, respectively. The results obtained are $k_4 = 1.3 \times 10^9$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and $k_5 = 1.6 \times 10^8$ s$^{-1}$.

Discussion

In our experiments, pyrene may reside in EPE aggregates adsorbed on the silica surface or in EPE aggregates in the solution phase. The amount of pyrene in the aqueous phase is negligible. To a sample for measurement which contains 0.2 g of silica and 0.36 g of supernatant, the amount of pyrene in the adsorption layer and in the solution phase can be estimated. For example, for the sample prepared from the solution of 2% EPE, the OD of pyrene in the solution phase decreased from 1.12 to 0.12 after the addition of silica. This allows the calculation of the amount of pyrene in the adsorption layer and in the solution phase to be $2.3 \times 10^{-7}$ and $9.7 \times 10^{-10}$ mol, respectively. It is clear that the amount of pyrene solubilized in the solution phase is much lower than that on silica surface. Therefore, the fluorescence measurement for the sample containing both the adsorption layer and the solution phase can be assumed to result from the adsorption layer.

The parameter $I_1/I_3$ has been used to monitor the micropolarity experienced by pyrene. The micropolarity can be related to the structure of EPE aggregates; i.e., the more contracted polymer chain, the lower micropolarity (the lower $I_1/I_3$) value the pyrene reports. For the EPE aggregates in solution phase, $I_1/I_3$ decreases monotonically with increasing EPE concentration, suggesting that EPE aggregates become more compact as the polymer concentration increases due to the mutual perturbation of polymer chains. This is the usual behavior of such polymers in an aqueous solution. For EPE aggregates on a silica surface, the change in micropolarity upon increasing EPE concentration is significantly larger than that observed in solution phase. We propose that this is due to the interaction between polymer and silica which causes the polymer to be more contracted on the silica surface. The micropolarity of EPE aggregates in the solution phase and on silica surface determined by DNP method are 37 and 65 eP, respectively. This result is also consistent with a compact structure of EPE aggregates on silica surface.

In the solution phase, the size of EPE aggregates is relatively large with aggregation numbers in the range of 90-570 for EPE concentration of 0.02-2% (Figure 3). Since the EPE aggregates in aqueous solution are less closely packed than those of nonionic micelles, the micellar size of EPE in solution phase is much bigger than that of a conventional nonionic surfactant such as poly-(ethylene glycol) n-nonylphenyl ethers (C$_n$PhE$_{10}$) by a factor of more than 3-30. Our results indicate that the aggregation number of EPE adsorbed on a silica surface is significantly smaller than that in the solution phase. For example, in the EPE concentration range 0.1-1%, the aggregation number remains constant at ca. 4. If the aggregate becomes too large, the polymers at the outer phase of the adsorption layer are beyond the influence of the local polymer-silica interaction responsible for adsorption and are forced into the solution phase and into equilibrium with the EPE on silica surface.

From the information provided by micropolarity, aggregation number, and the solubility characteristics deduced from our investigations, the following conclusions are reached: In solution phase, the EPE aggregates consist of polymer chains that are expanded by water and are very large. Relative to the solution phase, the EPE aggregates adsorbed on silica are much smaller and are significantly contracted as shown schematically in Scheme I.

Since the contracted polymer aggregates behave like surfactant micelles and have high solubilization ability, the difference in the structure between EPE on the silica surface and in solution phase also can be characterized by a different solubilization ability from that in solution phase (Table I), demonstrating that the polymer chain of EPE on silica is much more contracted and therefore more hydrophobic and better able to solubilize pyrene.

For EPE adsorbed on silica surface, the $I_1/I_3$ values remain constant in the concentration range 0.06-1% (Figure 2). This corresponds to the constant region in the plot of aggregation number vs. [EPE] (0.1-1%, Figure 4). Thus, the structure of EPE on silica surface remains stable in this concentration range. The increase in EPE concentration in this range does not change the structure but only increases the concentration of aggregates on surface. Above this range, the increase in EPE concentration increases the aggregation number and decreases the $I_1/I_3$ value again. The continual decreasing $I_1/I_3$ suggests that the EPE aggregates keep on getting more compact as a result of the increase in aggregation number of EPE.

From pyrene decay experiments several results are obtained as follows. Relative to the EPE aggregates in the solution phase, for the EPE aggregates on silica (1) the shape of quenching decay curve is similar to that of surfactant micelles (Figure 5), (2) the quencher less efficiently decreases the lifetime of pyrene, and (3) $A_3$ values are significantly larger.

These results suggest that the structure of EPE aggregates on the silica surface is closer to SDS micelles as a result of the contracted polymer chains. This conclusion is identical with that determined by the measurement of micropolarity, aggregation number, and solubilization.

Relative to an SDS micelle (micellar weight ca. 17 300), the EPE aggregate in solution phase (the calculated micellar weight ca. 57 000) is significantly bigger, and its structure is significantly less compacted because of the water inside it. Therefore, it is reasonable to expect that Cu$^{2+}$ resides longer inside EPE aggregates than in SDS aggregates. This factor contributes to a smaller
k. (1.6 × 10^4 s^-1) for Cu^{2+} in EPE aggregate than in SDS micelles (1.2 × 10^5 s^-1).^{16} Relative to EPE aggregates in solution phase, the micellar weight of EPE aggregates on silica (ca. 29000) is close to that of SDS and is more compact and contains less water; therefore, the k. value for Cu^{2+} (2.2 × 10^5 s^-1) is similar to that in SDS.

For EPE aggregates on silica, the k. (1.3 × 10^4 M^-1 s^-1) value is similar to that (1.4 × 10^4 M^-1 s^-1) in solution phase; both are smaller than that in SDS (1.2 × 10^6 M^-1 s^-1).^{16} The smaller k. for EPE on silica surface is possibly related to the smaller diffusion of Cu^{2+} due to the interaction between Cu^{2+} and silica.

Conclusion

EPE block copolymers are adsorbed on silica, and the adsorption isotherm is similar to that for surfactants. The solubilization amount of pyrene in the polymer aggregates on silica is 4-10 times higher than that in the solution phase. The micropolarity inside the aggregate on silica surface (I_1/I_3 = 1.54 for 0.2% EPE) is significantly smaller than that in the solution phase (I_1/I_3 = 1.84). The aggregation numbers of the polymer aggregates on silica surface (2-9 for [EPE] = 0.01-2%) are significantly smaller than those in the solution phase (35-600). The lifetime of pyrene quenched by Cu^{2+} in the aggregates on silica (193 ns) is higher than that in the solution phase (132 ns). The shapes of the decay curves of pyrene quenched by Cu^{2+} show that the nature of polymer aggregates on silica is closer to that of SDS micelles than to the polymer aggregates in solution phase. These results show that the polymer aggregates on silica surface are smaller and more contracted and possess properties close to those of SDS micelles. On silica, the flat regions in the plot of aggregation number vs. [EPE] and of I_1/I_3 vs. [EPE] are the same, indicating that the polymer aggregates on silica is stable with an aggregation number of ca. 4. The values of k. and k. for Cu^{2+} in the EPE aggregates on silica are 1.4 × 10^4 M^-1 s^-1 and 2.2 × 10^4 s^-1, respectively, and those in the solution phase are 1.3 × 10^5 M^-1 s^-1 and 1.6 × 10^5 s^-1, respectively. Relative to the k. of SDS (1.2 × 10^5 s^-1), the smaller k. for polymer aggregates in the solution phase is attributed to the big size and the high water-enriched interior.
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The microemulsion stability range and multicomponent self-diffusion data are presented for systems of formamide, alcohol, and sodium dodecyl sulfate in both the presence and absence of an oil, p-xylene; the alcohols used were 1-butanol, 1-pentanol, 1-hexanol, 1-heptanol, and 1-octanol. The results have been compared with the analogous water/alcohol/SDS systems. In the nonaqueous systems, high self-diffusion coefficients were observed for all the components in essentially all the regions studied. The results do not support any appreciable confinement of any component into closed domains. Rather the structure seems to be close to the structureless limit of simple solutions. The aqueous systems are structurally quite different from these nonaqueous solutions and show considerable surfactant organization in bicontinuous or droplet structures. Thus, distinct water droplets were found in the aqueous systems with primary alcohols having six or more carbons. The structure of the formamide system is, on the other hand, quite insensitive to the chain length of the alcohol. These conclusions about the nonaqueous and aqueous microemulsions remain valid in both the presence and absence of the oil, p-xylene. Structureless microemulsions have also been found by using as nonaqueous solvents N-methylformamide and N,N'-dimethylformamide in place of formamide. Self-diffusion measurements have also been extended to the two-component nonaqueous solvent/SDS systems. The results are again very different from those of the aqueous system and point to a quite insignificant aggregation with no evidence for distinct hard-core micelles. The results on organization in these nonaqueous systems are consistent with the recent findings of Rico and Lattes who have demonstrated that the organization in nonaqueous surfactant systems requires other choices of surfactant chain length, cosurfactant, and temperature than in aqueous systems.

Introduction

It has been known for a long time that surfactants can form aggregates also in nonaqueous solvents. 1-7 Micelle formation of different surfactants has been reported in a number of solvents like various alcohols, 2 dimethyl sulfoxides, 2 glycols, 3,4 and even inorganic salt melts. 5,8 Since hydrophobic interactions are responsible for micelle formation in an aqueous medium, analogous solvophobic interactions were postulated for nonaqueous media. However, a recent study by Almgren et al. 8 using a large number of experimental techniques has raised doubts as to the existence of real aggregates of surfactant molecules in such media and reported that unless there is the presence of sufficient water there is a poor organization of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) in a nonaqueous solvent like formamide.

Among other organized surfactant assemblies, liquid crystals have been identified in nonaqueous solvents, for quite some time.
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